The Relationship Between Generalized Joint Hypermobility and Adhesive Capsulitis of the Shoulder
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Amaç: Bu çalışmada generalize eklem hipermobilitesi (GEH) ve omzun adeziv kapsülü (AK) arasındaki muhtemel ilişki araştırıldı.

Hastalar ve yöntemler: Çalışma grubunda 120’si AK tanılı ve kontrol grubunda 120’si primer subakromiyal sıkışma sendromu tanı şekli olmak üzere toplam 240 hasta çalışmaya dahildi. Her iki grupta da ağrı şiddetı, omuz eklem hareket açıklığı, fonksiyonel değerlendirme, engellilik ve hipermobilite değerlendirildi. Generalize eklem hipermobilitesi Beighton skoru, benign eklem hipermobilite sendromu (BEHS) ise revize Brighton kriterleri ile değerlendirildi.

Bulgular: Adeziv kapsülit grubunda, GEH sadece bir hastada (%0.08) olmakla birlikte, BEHS’ye rastlanmadı. Kontrol grubunda ise dokuz hastada (%7.5) GEH, beş hastada (%4.2) BEHS gözlemlendi.


Anahtar sözcükler: Adhesive capsulitis; benign joint hypermobility syndrome; impingement syndrome.

Objectives: This study aims to investigate the possible relationship between generalized joint hypermobility (GJH) and adhesive capsulitis (AC) of the shoulder.

Patients and methods: A total of 240 patients were enrolled in this study, including 120 patients diagnosed with AC in a study group and 120 patients diagnosed with primary subacromial impingement syndrome in the control group. We evaluated the pain severity, range of motion of the shoulder joint, functional status, disability, and hypermobility in both groups. The Beighton score was used to evaluate the GJH while the revised Brighton criteria were utilized for the patients with benign joint hypermobility syndrome (BJHS).

Results: In the adhesive capsulitis group, GJH was significantly rare (p<0.05) with only one patient (0.08%) whereas BJHS was not found. In the control group, nine patients (7.5%) had GJH, and five (4.2%) had BJHS.

Conclusion: Our study results suggest that GJH may be a protective or preventive factor in the development of AC. In clinical practice, we believe that in GJH patients we can be more optimistic regarding the concern of the conversion of shoulder pain to AC. If this has already taken place, then these patients may respond more positively to treatment in cases involving GJH.
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The shoulder is a joint where soft tissue pathologies are commonly observed due to its complex anatomic structure. One of these pathologies, adhesive capsulitis (AC), is a painful syndrome characterized by the progressive limitation of active and passive range of motion (ROM).[1]

Joint hypermobility is a condition related to ligamentous laxity that causes increased ROM in the joints. It is most often generalized but may also involve a single joint. When generalized joint hypermobility (GJH) is symptomatic, it is known as benign joint hypermobility syndrome (BJHS).[2-4]

The common characteristic of GJH and AC is the presence of collagen-related disorders in their pathophysiology. The intensity of collagen fibrils increase, and their arrangement becomes irregular in AC. In addition, thickening and adherences occur in the shoulder joint capsule. As a result of the capsular contracture, both active and passive shoulder ROM is limited.[1,5,6] However, the collagen fibrils have a thinner and more irregular structure in GJH, and these abnormalities lead to laxity of the joints, increased fragility of the connective tissue, and decreased proprioception. In turn, this results in a predisposition toward joint degeneration and soft tissue injuries.[2,7] Among these two pathologies that stem from collagen disorders, increased and limited joint ROM is observed in GJH and AC, respectively. Due to these two different clinical conditions, we hypothesized that GJH might play a protective or preventive role against the development of AC. Herein, the relationship between AC and GJH, which appear to be two different pathologies, was investigated.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

The patients who presented to the shoulder outpatient clinic of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Department, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty between July 2005 and September 2007 with complaints of shoulder pain and/or limited ROM were evaluated. A total of 240 patients were enrolled in this study, with a study group of 120 patients diagnosed with AC and a control group of 120 patients diagnosed with primary subacromial impingement syndrome (SIS). The local ethics committee approved the procedures followed in this study, and after the patients were informed about the study procedure, they gave their written informed consent to be included in our cohort.

Diagnosis of the shoulder pathology was based on the patient’s history, a clinical examination, conventional radiography, a subacromial injection test (SIT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as well as electroneuromyography when needed. Routine biochemistry and immunological laboratory tests were also performed if necessary to rule out the diseases mentioned in the exclusion criteria. Besides a routine physical examination of the cervical spine and shoulder joint, we measured the active and passive ROM and performed special tests on the shoulder to determine the diagnosis.

We performed the SIT as a reference test. A patient was diagnosed with AC if they had active and passive ROM limitations together with the absence of improvement on the SIT. These cases constituted the study group. The patients with positive impingement tests (Neer, Hawkins-Kennedy, and painful arc tests) along with a positive SIT were diagnosed with primary SIS.[8,9] These cases constituted the control group with disease.

Exclusion criteria were the following: (i) patients older than 70 years old and younger than 18, (ii) a history of shoulder surgery, (iii) the presence of cervical pain, (iv) the presence of inflammatory, infectious, or systemic diseases, (v) malignancy, (vi) the presence of psychiatric disorders and cognitive dysfunction, (vii) the presence of neurological and vascular diseases, (viii) the presence of instability, labral lesions, calcific tendinitis, glenohumeral joint osteoarthritis (OA), or entrapment neuropathy in the shoulder region, (ix) shoulder dislocation and/or fracture, and (x) patients who frequently participated in sports activities that involved a throwing motion.

**Group evaluation**

Pain measurement, shoulder joint ROM, functional assessment, disability, and hypermobility evaluations were done in both groups by the first investigator.

Shoulder pain at rest and during activity along with pain which disturbed the normal sleep patterns were evaluated using a numeric pain scale (NPS).[10] The shoulder’s active and passive ROM (flexion, extension, abduction, adduction, and internal and external rotation) were measured via goniometry,[11] and the functional assessment was calculated according to the Constant-Murley scale.[12] In addition, the Turkish version of the Shoulder Disability Questionnaire (SDQ) was used to evaluate disability.[13,14] All subjects were assessed
for the existence of GJH using the Beighton score\cite{15} and for BJHS using the revised Brighton criteria.\cite{16}

**Statistical analysis**

The data was evaluated using the SPSS for Windows version 10.0 software program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Student’s t-test was used for comparing parametric data, such as age, body mass index (BMI), and ROM, whereas the Mann-Whitney U test was utilized for comparing the duration of symptoms, pain scores, and Beighton scores. Furthermore, a chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used for comparing the categorical variables. A \( p \) value of <0.001 was considered to be highly significant, a \( p \) value of <0.01 was moderately significant, and a \( p \) value of <0.05 was significant.

To calculate the sample size, the prevalence of hypermobility was estimated to be approximately 10% in the SIS group and 1% in the AC group. In order to reach 80% power and a 95% confidence interval (CI), 120 patients were enrolled in each of these groups.

**RESULTS**

In both the SIS and AC groups, the proportion of females was higher than males, with the number of females being significantly higher in the SIS group compared with the AC group (\( p < 0.01 \)) (Table 1).

The patient’s other characteristics are shown in Table 2. No significant differences were found between the groups in terms of age, shoulder pain, and symptom duration. However, a significant difference was observed in the two groups (\( p < 0.001 \)) when comparing the shoulder ROM. The ROM was markedly preserved in the SIS group but considerably limited in the AC group in all directions. In line with this, the total score for the Constant-Murley functional evaluation scale was significantly higher in the SIS group compared with the AC group (\( p < 0.001 \)), but no significant differences were noted between the total SDQ scores (\( p > 0.05 \)).

In the SIS group, the number of cases with GJH and BJHS were markedly higher (\( p < 0.05 \)), but only one patient (0.08%) was diagnosed with GJH in the AC group, and none had BJHS. In contrast, in the control group, nine patients (7.5%) had GJH while five (4.2%) had BJHS (Table 3). Moreover, the total Beighton scores were significantly higher in the SIS group than the AC group (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we investigated the clinical relationship between GJH and AC which involve different collagen disorders in their etiopathogenesis, and GJH was found at a significantly lower rate in the AC group than the control group with SIS.

The prevalence of AC is 2-5% in the overall population\cite{6,17} while it is between 10-20% for GJH.\cite{2,3,18} Studies that have focused on the prevalence of GJH have mostly been conducted using younger populations, especially school age children. There have been very few of these types of studies performed on adults.\cite{2,4} In these studies, it is common to use the definitions for GJH and BJHS interchangeably instead of providing separate, distinct definitions. Although mostly known as a syndrome, GJH is primarily evaluated using only the Beighton scoring system. Additionally, the actual prevalence of BjHS, despite being less frequent than GJH, is still not known.\cite{9,7}

Adhesive capsulitis is more common in females, occurring at a rate of 55-70%.\cite{1,5} Similarly, the studies performed on different groups according to ethnic origin have revealed a GJH rate varying between 5 and 57% in females and 2 and 35% in males.\cite{19,20} In our study, females constituted 60% of the AC group, whereas only the single GJH patient in the study group and eight of the nine GJH patients in the control group were females. Adhesive capsulitis is most commonly idiopathic in nature.\cite{6,21} While there are investigators who accept concomitant diseases such as diabetes and hyperthyroidism as also being idiopathic, others consider these to be secondary

---

**Table 1. Gender distribution of the patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 (AC)</th>
<th>Group 2 (SIS)</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48 40.0</td>
<td>26 21.7</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>72 60.0</td>
<td>94 78.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC: Adhesive capsulitis; SIS: Subacromial impingement syndrome; ** \( p < 0.01 \).
to AC.\cite{6,21,22} In this study, the rate of concomitant diabetes was 31.7% in the AC group and 11.7% in the SIS group.

In the literature, many concomitant diseases have been reported with GJH or BJHS. For example, in a study by Biro et al.\cite{23} 5.7% of the cases referred to an arthritis clinic and a pediatric rheumatology polyclinic determined that hypermobility syndrome was the underlying pathology. In addition, Bridges et al.\cite{24} found joint hypermobility in 15% of adult patients referred to a rheumatologist. Moreover, Ofluoğlu et al.\cite{25} and Şendur et al.\cite{26} found a BJHS rate of 64.2% and 46.6% respectively in women with fibromyalgia, and Nijs et al.\cite{27} reported a GJH rate of 20.6% in 68 cases with chronic fatigue syndrome and a rate of 4.3% in the healthy control group. Furthermore, Aktaş et al.\cite{28} demonstrated that BJHS is a predisposing factor for carpal tunnel syndrome, and Bird et al.\cite{29} found that there was an increased risk of OA due to joint overloading associated with an abnormal increase in joint ROM and decreased proprioception in cases with BJHS. Finally, el-Shahaly et al.\cite{30} found an OA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 1 (AC)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting pain (NPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity pain (NPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain disturbing sleep (NPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active abduction (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active adduction (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active extantion (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active flexion (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active internal rotation (neutral arm position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active external rotation (neutral arm position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active internal rotation (arm in abduction position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active external rotation (arm in abduction position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive abduction (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive adduction (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive extension (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive flexion (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive internal rotation (neutral arm position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive external rotation (neutral arm position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive internal rotation (arm in abduction position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive external rotation (arm in abduction position) (º)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant-Murley total score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC: Adhesive capsulitis; SIS: Subacromial impingement syndrome; SD: Standard deviation; Min.: Minimum; Max.: Maximum; * p<0.001; NPS: Numeric pain scale; (º): Degree; SDQ: Shoulder disability questionnaire; The median values were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney U test, and the mean values were evaluated using Student’s t-test.
rate of 60% in cases with BJHS who presented to a rheumatology clinic, but the rate dropped to only 30% for those without BJHS.

The studies that investigated the relationship between shoulder pathologies and hypermobility demonstrated a correlation between GJH and glenohumeral joint laxity and instability. In the study by Cooper and Brems, they diagnosed GJH in 29 of the 38 cases with multi-directional shoulder instability. However, some investigators observed no correlation between generalized joint laxity and glenohumeral joint laxity and instability. Although there have been no trials that have evaluated SIS and GJH together, the classification by Jobe et al., who developed new insights into impingement syndrome in athletes, particularly gives credence to GJH-associated instability and the resulting secondary impingement, that occurs in athletes under the age of 35 who perform throwing activities or overhead movements. The patients with SIS in our control group had no accompanying instability included in the primary impingement based on Neer’s classification. We also found no studies in the literature that have focused on hypermobility in AC cases. The chronic inflammatory process, especially when it is triggered by various cytokines, has been held responsible for the AC pathophysiology. In addition, the platelet-derived growth factor and the transforming growth factor beta (β) values were higher in AC pathologies. Furthermore, an increase in fibroblast proliferation and fibrosis was also detected by Hannafin et al. In addition, Bunker observed intense type III collagen along with increased myofibroblasts and fibroblasts in the rotator interval and coracohumeral ligament in patients with AC. Nevisaer arthroscopically defined four stages in idiopathic AC: synovitis, capsular thickening, adhesion, and contracture. As seen in various studies, the AC physiopathology involves an impaired collagen structure, collagen intensity, and increased fibroblastic activity and contracture, whereas GJH and BJHS involve an impaired type I collagen structure and an increase in the type III collagen rate relative to type I. Furthermore, defective collagen production along with cross-link and stabilization abnormalities result in a decreased stretching resistance.

Marfan syndrome (MS), Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS) and osteogenesis imperfecta (OI), a group of diseases accompanied by systemic organ disorders involving joint hypermobility, are excluded from BJHS. Some patients with these diseases, have reported congenital joint and soft tissue contractures accompanying joint hypermobility, which is contrary to the hypothesis of this study. In BJHS, a non-pathogenic polymorphism occurs due to minor variations in the extracellular matrix genes, for example collagen, elastin, and fibrillin. With MS, EDS and OI, major variations and variations in the protein-binding points occur in the extracellular matrix genes, leading to severe organ disorders.

### Table 3. Generalized joint hypermobility and benign joint hypermobility syndrome in both groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generalized joint hypermobility</th>
<th>Group 1 (AC)</th>
<th>Group 2 (SIS)</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>119 (99.2)</td>
<td>111 (92.5)</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>9 (7.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benign joint hypermobility syndrome</th>
<th>Group 1 (AC)</th>
<th>Group 2 (SIS)</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>120 (100)</td>
<td>115 (95.8)</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5 (4.2)</td>
<td>6.67 0.010*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AC: Adhesive capsulitis; SIS: Subacromial impingement syndrome; * p<0.05.

### Table 4. Evaluation of the total Beighton scores in both groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Beighton scores</th>
<th>Group 1 (AC)</th>
<th>Group 2 (SIS)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.-max.</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>0-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mann-Whitney U test; AC: Adhesive capsulitis; SIS: Subacromial impingement syndrome; Min.: Minimum; Max.: Maximum; * p<0.001.
In the literature, favorable effects associated with GJH and BJHS have also been reported. Hypermobility has been demonstrated to provide a protective effect against bone injury by enhancing the stretching property of the ligament and joint capsule in cases of spinal cord injuries in childhood.[49] Hypermobility of the wrist and fingers has also been reported to enhance the performance skills in individuals who play musical instruments.[50] Additionally, Kraus et al.[51] found that hypermobility did not increase OA development in hand joints and that it had a protective effect in proximal interphalangeal joint OA. Furthermore, Krivickas and Feinberg[52] demonstrated that lower extremity injuries were more common in athletes without hypermobility.

In our study, only one patient (0.8%) with AC was found to have GJH. This patient could only reach 4 points on the Beighton scoring system. In the SIS group, nine patients (7.5%) had GJH, and five (4.2%) of these also had BJHS.

The lack of a third group without any shoulder pathology in this study might be considered a limitation. In our outpatient clinic, the data for a similar age group without any shoulder pathology indicated that 7.1% of the patients had GJH and 3.9% had BJHS. In addition, in a study by Nijss et al.[27] that involved similar age groups, GJH was detected at a rate of 4.3% in the healthy control group,[27] and Kraus et al.[51] detected a rate of 3.7% in another trial. With this data already in mind, we proceeded with our study and determined that the numbers of patients with GJH and BJHS were markedly higher in the SIS group (p<0.05) compared with AC group and the control subjects.

Despite the exclusion of the presence of instability in our cases, joint laxity and shoulder joint instability are concomitant conditions that are not easy to distinguish. Because of the presence of minor instability, a clinical examination may not detect shoulder joint instability.[53,54] Furthermore, in individuals with GJH, the increased movement of the shoulder joint may cause SIS. According to our study, the GJH and BJHS rates were higher in the SIS group than in the group made up of healthy subjects.

Conclusion
The available data shows that GJH may be a protective or preventive factor against the development of AC with capsular contracture. Considering the implications of this favorable effect on the clinical practice, we believe that in GJH patients we can be more optimistic regarding the concern of the conversion of shoulder pain to AC. If this has already taken place, then these patients may respond more positively to treatment in cases involving GJH.
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