Erdheim-Chester Disease With Renal Involvement: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

Erdheim-Chester disease is a type of non-Langerhans cell histiocytosis. It is a rare, multisystem disorder with unknown etiology. Heterogeneity of the clinical symptoms makes the diagnosis challenging. On the other hand, knowing the signs and radiological findings of the disease helps to establish a correct diagnosis. In this article, we present a 51-year-old male patient with skeletal and urinary system manifestations who finally underwent right nephrectomy due to renal insufficiency. The diagnosis was suspected by the retroperitoneal infiltrative process and radiological findings of the tubular bones. Erdheim-Chester disease diagnosis was confirmed with CD68(+) CD1a(-) histiocytes detected by immunohistochemical analysis of the nephrectomy specimen.
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CASE REPORT

A 51-year-old male patient admitted to our outpatient clinic with complaints of flank pain and fatigue. He had a history of renal stones and peripheral arterial disease. He had a medically unmanageable hypertension. Blood pressure was 200/110 mmHg. Serum creatinine level was 1.2 mg/dL. He was evaluated with contrast-enhanced multislice computed tomography. Along with small calyceal stones, there was bilateral, quite symmetrical perinephric “rind-like” soft tissue infiltration. Right kidney was atrophic but still functioning without pelvicalyceal contrast excretion. The soft tissue infiltration obliterated both renal hila and caused proximal ureteral stenoses with mild calyceal dilation on the left side (Figures 1 and 2). Distally, the ureters were normal. Incidentally, abdominal aorta, common iliac arteries, proximal celiac trunk, and proximal renal arteries were noticed to appear “coated” with subtle perivascular tissue infiltration. An endovascular aortoiliac stent-graft was in place. He rejected any further diagnostic intervention after he presented with a blood creatinine of 2.2 mg/dL. He underwent an unenhanced
computed tomography examination with the same findings before. Renal scintigraphy revealed a non-functioning right kidney. Perinephric soft tissue infiltration was considered as the differential diagnosis of ECD. Direct radiographies of the extremities were obtained. All of the long tubular bones showed diaphyseal cortical and patchy medullary sclerosis reinforcing the diagnosis of ECD (Figure 3). The patient underwent right nephrectomy for the control of hypertension and a double-J stent was placed on the left side for the relief of ureteral obstruction. The nephrectomy specimen showed the typical histological features of ECD. Serum creatinine level dropped to the borderline values after the operation and his blood pressure was in the normal range with medications. The pathological analysis of the nephrectomy specimen revealed an inflammatory infiltrate formed by foamy histiocytes that were positively stained for CD68 and neutrophilic aggregates which confirmed the preoperative diagnosis (Figures 4 and 5). A written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

DISCUSSION

The X-ray changes that are considered to be pathognomonic and found in almost all patients are dia/metaphyseal osteosclerosis bilaterally and symmetrically in the tubular bones of upper and lower extremities. These bone changes may be misdiagnosed as Paget's disease, lymphoma, sarcoidosis, metastases, or lipid storage diseases.

Figure 1. Arterial phase contrast-enhanced axial computed tomography scan shows rind-like soft tissue of perinephric space and also surrounding the aorta.

Figure 2. On delayed-phase computed tomography scan, the right kidney is not excreting intravenous contrast agent. On the left, the ureter and renal pelvis is encased with soft tissue infiltrate.

Figure 3. Anteroposterior radiogram of the right knee shows patchy sclerosis and coarse trabecular pattern of the femoral metadiaphysis. The same findings are present on both knees and upper extremities (not shown).
The histiocytic infiltrative process, as found in our case, may involve the retroperitoneum in approximately 29 to 68% of patients. The soft tissue infiltrate around the aorta and its major branches can be misdiagnosed as arteritis, lymphoma or retroperitoneal fibrosis. The process may lead to severe arterial stenosis and the consequences include cerebral ischemia, cardiac angina, mesenteric ischemia, or renovascular hypertension. Adrenal glands, kidneys, renal arteries, ureters, and adjacent anatomical spaces may be involved in the process. Infiltration of perirenal space may extend to the pararenal space with irregular borders, thus will be given the name “hairy kidney”. Extension to the renal sinuses and pedicles, proximal and distal ureters is possible and may cause obstruction and eventually, as in our case, compromise renal function.

In our case, computed tomography findings suggested retroperitoneal fibrosis but the infiltrate did not involve the vena cava and the paraaortic infiltration was circumferential instead of sparing the posterior aspect of the aorta. Also, the perinephric infiltration was far more striking than the perivascular space which is inconsistent with retroperitoneal fibrosis. Therefore, the differential diagnosis consisted mainly of processes involving perinephric and perivascular spaces, namely, lymphoma, retroperitoneal fibrosis, and ECD. The diagnosis of ECD was strongly suggested with the radiographic findings of the extremities which revealed symmetrical dia-metaphyseal osteosclerosis.

The final and definite diagnosis was confirmed with pathological evaluation of the nephrectomy specimen. The typical non-Langerhans’ foamy histiocytes that lacked Birbeck granules, located in a polymorphic granuloma with xanthogranulomatosis was shown. Immunohistochemical staining revealed CD68(+), CD1a(-), and S-100 (-).

In conclusion, ECD should be remembered in the differential diagnosis of retroperitoneal processes involving the major vascular structures, ureters, and perinephric space. Direct radiographic evaluation of the long tubular bones can be helpful to identify the systemic disease. The immunohistochemical studies of the proper tissue specimens may provide the definite diagnosis.
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